



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,660	11/04/2003	Ching-Hui Chen	32052-8567.US	6469
25996	7590	02/24/2009		
PERKINS COIE LLP			EXAMINER	
PATENT-SEA			STOREY, WILLIAM C	
P.O. BOX 1247				
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			ART UNIT	
			PAPER NUMBER	
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			02/24/2009	
			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/699,660

Applicant(s)

CHEN ET AL.

Examiner

WILLIAM C. STOREY

Art Unit

2625

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) WILLIAM C. STOREY.(3) Cameron Pilling.(2) King Poon.(4) Aaron Poledna.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 22, 31 and 32.

Identification of prior art discussed: Takeda.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It appeared that the amendment proposed for claim 22 may overcome the previous application of references used for the rejection of claim 22. The propositions will be fully considered when submitted in writing for examination.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/William C Storey/
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

/King Y. Poon/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625